# Fiddyment Farm Elementary School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2016-17 School Year Published During 2017-18

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</a>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <a href="http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/">http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/</a>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

### **DataQuest**

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <u>http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/</u> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

# **About This School**

### **Contact Information (School Year 2017-18)**

School Contact Info	ormation
School Name	Fiddyment Farm Elementary
Street	4001 Brick Mason Circle
City, State, Zip	Roseville, CA 95747
Phone Number	916-771-1880
Principal	Ryan Poulsen
E-mail Address	rpoulsen@rcsdk8.org
Web Site	http://www.rcsdk8.org
CDS Code	3166910-0127639

District Contact Information		
District Name	Roseville City School District	
Phone Number	917-771-1600	
Superintendent	Derk Garcia	
E-mail Address		
Web Site	www.rcsdk8.org	

### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2017-18)

Fiddyment Farm Elementary School opened its doors on August 19, 2013. We are a TK-5 elementary school in West Roseville, CA. Since opening we have grown to over 500 students. We currently offer Transitional Kindergarten classes and extended day Kindergarten. All of our classes are taught by fully credentialed teachers with an average of 13 years experience. Our classrooms all have interactive SMART boards and handheld devices for students to utilize while maximizing their academic growth and personal development. Each grade level works together in Professional Learning teams to develop Common Core standards based lessons that are designed to reach all learners. Intervention and enrichment are a part of the curriculum in each grade level.

### **Mission Statement:**

We at Fiddyment Farm are committed to maximizing student learning in a collaborative, respectful and safe environment to ensure all students become a positive F.O.R.C.E. in society.

F Focused O Organized R Respectful C Compassionate E Encouraging

### Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2016-17)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	202
Grade 1	110
Grade 2	117
Grade 3	87
Grade 4	68
Grade 5	68
Total Enrollment	652

### Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Black or African American	1.8			
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.6			
Asian	7.8			
Filipino	7.1			
Hispanic or Latino	16.6			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0			
White	52			
Two or More Races	5.7			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	12.1			
English Learners	8.4			
Students with Disabilities	6.9			
Foster Youth	0.5			

# A. Conditions of Learning

## **State Priority: Basic**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

### **Teacher Credentials**

<b>T</b> h		District		
Teachers	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2017-18
With Full Credential	23	28	23	470
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	1

### **Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions**

Indicator	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments *	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

\* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

### Year and month in which data were collected: 9/7/17

Roseville City Elementary held a public hearing on September 7, 2017 and determined that each school within the district had sufficient and good quality textbooks, instructional materials, or science lab equipment pursuant to the settlement of Williams vs. the State of California. All students, including English learners, are given their own individual standards- aligned textbooks or instructional materials, or both, in core subjects for use in the classroom and to take home. Textbooks and supplementary materials are adopted according to a cycle developed by the California Department of Education, making the textbooks used in the school the most current available. Materials approved for use by the State are reviewed by all teachers and a recommendation is made to the School Board by a selection committee composed of teachers and administrators. All recommended materials are available for parent examination at the district office prior to adoption.

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	Benchmark	Yes	0
Mathematics	Houghton Mifflin 2015	Yes	0
Science	Science MacMillan/ McGraw Hill 2008	Yes	0
History-Social Science	History/Social Science Pearson Scott Foresman 2007	Yes	0

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

#### General

The District takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the District uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the Maintenance Office.

### Maintenance and Repairs:

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The District annually inspects wheelchair lifts, tests fire extinguishers, inspects and services all playground backboards, replaces bark on playgrounds and landscaping, and services HVAC units.

### School Facility Repair Status:

### Overall Summary of School Facilities Repair Status – Exemplary

The inspection included a check of possible gas leaks, mechanical systems, interior and exterior doors and windows, interior surface areas, structural damage, electrical, playground equipment, and hazardous materials. In all areas this school passed inspection.

### Cleaning Process and Schedule:

The District has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the District. The principal works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

### Deferred Maintenance Budget:

The District participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repairs or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. District

### School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)

Using the **most recently collected** FIT data (or equivalent), provide the following:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The overall rating

	-	-		Recent Year) port: 12/2017
	Repair Status			Repair Needed and
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	х			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	х			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	х			
Electrical: Electrical	х			
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	Х			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	х			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Х			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	х			

### **Overall Facility Rating (Most Recent Year)**

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: 12/2017						
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor		
Overall Rating	х					

# **B. Pupil Outcomes**

## State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAAs items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

# CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven

	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)						
Subject	School		District		State		
	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	2015-16	2016-17	
English Language Arts/Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	66	67	63	63	48	48	
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	57	63	53	51	36	37	

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

## CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	221	218	98.64	67.43
Male	112	110	98.21	57.27
Female	109	108	99.08	77.78
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	15	15	100	73.33
Filipino	15	15	100	66.67
Hispanic or Latino	29	29	100	55.17
White	117	115	98.29	67.83
Two or More Races	15	15	100	73.33
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	36	36	100	50
English Learners	36	36	100	61.11
Students with Disabilities	21	20	95.24	35

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group
Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2016-17)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	221	218	98.64	63.3
Male	112	110	98.21	60.91
Female	109	108	99.08	65.74
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	15	15	100	66.67
Filipino	15	15	100	80
Hispanic or Latino	29	29	100	55.17
White	117	115	98.29	60.87
Two or More Races	15	15	100	80
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	36	36	100	33.33
English Learners	36	36	100	61.11
Students with Disabilities	21	20	95.24	35

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

# CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

### Grades Five, Eight, and Ten

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
Subject	School		Dist	rict	State				
	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16			
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	80	82	73	73	60	56			

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

## **State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject areas of physical education.

### California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2016-17)

Grade	Perce	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards				
5	7.6	15.2	72.7				

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

# **C.** Engagement

### **State Priority: Parental Involvement**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)**

We are fortunate to have hard working, dedicated parents and teachers who make up our Parent Teacher Club (PTC.) The PTC at Fiddyment Farm works tirelessly to provide and coordinate programs and activities to make our student's lives full and encourage a positive connection to school. Some of the yearly activities include a Run for Funds, Book Fair, Father Daughter Dance, Art Docent, after school enrichment classes, Skate Nights, family Dine Outs, Mother Son events, Holiday Extravaganza and many more. The PTC meets 4 times per year in the school library at 6:30 pm.

Please look for current updates and information on the following resources:

Facebook: RCSD Fiddyment Farm (school) and Fiddyment Farm PTC

Twitter: @rcsdfiddyment

School website: http://www.rcsdk8.org

### **State Priority: School Climate**

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

### Suspensions and Expulsions

Data	School			District			State		
Rate	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions	1.4	0.4	0.3	3.0	2.7	3.2	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2017-18)

Evacuation, duck and cover, shelter in place and lockdown drills are practiced on a regular basis at Fiddyment Farm Elementary School. Staff and students are updated on safety practices periodically throughout each school year and when events warrant.

Fiddyment Farm School participates in the California Safe Schools Assessment Program. The Roseville City School District has developed a Crisis Response Plan that outlines the procedures to be followed in the event of an emergency affecting the school site. The plan includes procedures for coordinating resources to respond to any emergency including natural disasters, environmental health issues, accidents, or intruders. Staff have been assigned roles and trained to work efficiently with each other and with other public service officers to ensure the safety of students and staff.

Fiddyment Farm School has a Comprehensive School Safety Plan (CSSP) which is updated annually by the Safety Committee and approved by the School Site Council. Our safety plan will be analyzed, updated and presented for approval before March 1 of each calendar year.

# **D. Other SARC Information**

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2017-18)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In Pl
First Year of Program Improvement		2012-2013
Year in Program Improvement*		Year 2
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	3
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	100

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

		2014	4-15		2015-16			2016-17				
Grade	Avg.	Num	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Nun	nber of Cla	sses	Avg.	Num	nber of Cla	sses
Level	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
к	25		4	1	26	1	4	1	23		44	
1	23		3		25		4		22		30	
2	26		2		25		3		26		24	
3	19	2			17	2	3		25		24	
4	24		2		28		2		34			12
5	22	1		1	18	2	2		34			12
Other	9	1										

Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2016-17)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	0	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.5	N/A
Psychologist	.5	N/A
Social Worker	0	N/A
Nurse	.2	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	N/A
Resource Specialist	1	N/A
Other	1.1	N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

\*One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

### Expenditures per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	\$8,628	\$2,577	\$6,051	\$72,014
District	N/A	N/A	\$6,509	\$80,553
Percent Difference: School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-7.0	-11.0
State	N/A	N/A	\$6,574	\$78,363
Percent Difference: School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-9.9	-6.7

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2016-17)

The majority of the school's funding goes directly back into the classes by way of professional development for teachers to enhance instruction, assessments tools and time for collaboration to ensure all students are meeting standards. Time is also spent developing intervention for our struggling students and improving enrichment opportunities for students who perform above the expected standards. Funding also goes toward adding hands on material and updated technology into our student's daily learning. The school community believes strongly that helping students make connections to school creates a better learning environment for all and an increase in academic achievement. The staff is dedicated to maintaining our sense of community by involving all students in annual events (i.e. Winter Concert, Variety Show, and Spring Performance.) Staff also reaches out to each individual student by sending a postcard home during the year. The students and staff gather bi-monthly to celebrate citizenship and honor those who have demonstrated our FORCE characteristics in our interactive SING program. In each class, teachers implement the Second Step Social Skills curriculum to help all student navigate through social and emotional situations.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2015-16)

Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$41,775	\$48,678
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$81,529	\$78,254
Highest Teacher Salary	\$94,924	\$96,372
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$110,722	\$122,364
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$125,320	\$125,958
Average Principal Salary (High)		\$126,758
Superintendent Salary	\$197,499	\$212,818
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	45%	38%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	6%	5%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

### **Professional Development (Most Recent Three Years)**

In alignment with the Roseville City School District board goals, the Professional Development Advisory Committee (PDAC) plan was developed to create a comprehensive three-year professional development plan for the District. Training is provided to administrators and teachers that align with this plan during four professional development days. In addition, the school sites are provided three additional professional development days to focus on school site goals.

The priorities for Fiddyment Farm are learning and implementing the new ELA curriculum, writing, using I-ready as a tool to strengthen our RtI, and formative assessment. These priorities were determined by staff and approved by SSC based on data, collaborative professional input and alignment with site and district goals.

Fiddyment Farm staff is focused on implementing the California state standards using our new ELA and Math curriculums. New and improved assessment methods and models are being integrated into our classrooms for a more diagnostic understanding of individual student need. Staff uses data to make instructional decisions in an effort to advance student achievement.

Staff participates in district provided workshops, works collaboratively in grade level teams each week, attends local and statewide conferences all to broaden the understanding, rigor and implementation of our practice. Our school is led by a teacher driven leadership team with a focus on ensuring each and every child receives the curriculum, instruction, intervention, enrichment and support they need to become a positive FORCE in society.